

## Response ID ANON-VDDE-RPZ4-K

Submitted to **Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan**

Submitted on 2021-01-15 09:52:52

### About you

Are you responding as an: individual, group / organisation, agent?

Individual

### Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

Susan Taylor

What is your email address?

Individual Email:

[REDACTED]

What is your address?

Address line 1:

[REDACTED]

Address line 2 :

[REDACTED]

Address line 3:

Town / city:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

What is your contact telephone number?

Contact number:

[REDACTED]

### Proposed Local Development Plan Menu

Where would you like to go?

Quick Comment / Supporting Information

### Quick Comment / Supporting Information

Leave a quick comment about the Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan, or planning and development in general.....

Quick Comment:

I notice that the proposed Tweedbank development includes new cycle and pedestrian paths to provide "effective pedestrian/cycle connectivity". This seems an ideal opportunity to introduce cycle paths that are separate from pedestrian paths as is now seen in other European countries. Pedestrians and cyclists have different needs and multi-use paths don't necessarily satisfy both. Presumably the aim is to encourage more people to use one of these methods and separate paths is a way to give both groups a much safer and more enjoyable experience.

For those of us who walk to the train station it can sometimes be an unnerving experience to have a cyclist suddenly appear from behind (bells often can't be heard by people whose hearing is not good). With separate paths, divided perhaps by a low hedge, cyclists would also have a more enjoyable experience without having to weave their way round pedestrians, buggies, etc.

Do you wish to submit supporting information?

Submissions:

No file was uploaded

**Where would you like to go now?**

Submit your response to the consultation (Exit)