

Response ID ANON-VDDE-RPV9-M

Submitted to **Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan**

Submitted on **2021-01-22 16:45:50**

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, group / organisation, agent?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

Mrs Georgina Bell

What is your email address?

Individual Email:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town / city:

██████████

Postcode:

██████████

What is your contact telephone number?

Contact number:

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu

Where would you like to go?

Quick Comment / Supporting Information

Quick Comment / Supporting Information

Leave a quick comment about the Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan, or planning and development in general.....

Quick Comment:

In connection with AGALA029, Netherbarns, we are writing to object most strongly to the inclusion of Netherbarns development in the Local Development Plan.

We have lived in England for many years but were born in Scotland and until very recently made frequent visits to the border country including Abbotsford. We are therefore familiar with the area and are dismayed that such a proposal should be given the briefest consideration by the Council let alone find its way into a planning document.

The home of Sir Walter Scot and the surrounding landscape are profoundly important not only to the people of Scotland but they are of UK-wide and international significance. The intrusion of a new housing development into the sightline of visitors, veiled only by a meagre screen of trees, would destroy our enjoyment of this tranquil and iconic location.

The proposal clearly does not satisfy the National Planning Framework 3 and is associated with incorrect statements in LDP2. Furthermore, if approved, symbolically it would convey an immensely negative message. It would communicate to those with an interest in Sir Walter Scott, wherever they live around the world, that the country's heritage is no longer to be valued and conserved.

We urge the Council to reject this proposal and remove it from its planning documentation.

G.D.Bell and M.Bell

Do you wish to submit supporting information?

Submissions:

No file was uploaded

Where would you like to go now?

Submit your response to the consultation (Exit)