

Response ID ANON-VDDE-RA21-S

Submitted to **Proposed Scottish Borders Local Development Plan**

Submitted on **2021-01-25 09:47:34**

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, group / organisation, agent?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

David Waterston

What is your email address?

Individual Email:

[REDACTED]

What is your address?

Address line 1:

[REDACTED]

Address line 2 :

[REDACTED]

Address line 3:

Town / city:

[REDACTED]

Postcode:

[REDACTED]

What is your contact telephone number?

Contact number:

[REDACTED]

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu

Where would you like to go?

Submit your response to the consultation (Exit)

Comment on Sites in Settlements A to E

Which Site are you commenting on?

Site A to E:

Eshiels: BESH1001: Land at Eshiels: Business and Industrial

What are your comments regarding this Site?:

I am a local resident living in Eshiels and I strongly object to the proposed allocation at ESHIELS SITE BESH1001 – LAND AT ESHIELS as per SCOTTISH BORDERS COUNCIL PROPOSED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2020.

I base my objections on several issues many of which are contrary to SBC's own planning guidelines and are summarized in the paragraphs below.

Deliverability, Cost and Timescale

I don't believe this development is cost effective and have seen no detailed evidence submitted into the plan that would contradict this belief and would appreciate this information being forwarded to me. With out this evidence in place at this stage puts a huge question mark over the deliverability of this development in a timely manner. The use of proposed Compulsory Purchase, as the landowner has stipulated the land is not for sale, is quite frankly offensive without any justification of effective completion of this plan.

Location & Business Need

The proposed site itself is stand alone with no existing supporting infrastructure or access and is a green field site. The development of this site I believe is contrary to Tweed Valley SLA policy and will have significant negative impact on the area. Again, I have seen no evidence submitted in this plan to the contrary and would welcome an appropriate submission

The significant quantity of scheduled monuments in the area surrounding this land must be recognized and there has been no adequate investigation to ensure no adverse impact on assets of historical importance submitted to the justification for development and must be tabled before any further work is considered.

The location of this site will add to the unnecessary sprawl of urbanization whilst there is no evidence of a business need that cannot be fulfilled by existing business areas in the locale which are not at capacity. A utilization analysis of existing established business supply must be submitted to justify this further development outside of currently available areas, please forward the justification for review

Access to this location will predominantly be by vehicle, both private and commercial, increasing traffic and necessitating further junctions on this road which by definition will add to the road safety risk this development will add.

I look forward to receiving your response to this objection and copies of the requested information.

Regards

David Waterston

[Redacted signature]

What would you like to do now?

Proposed Local Development Plan Menu (includes Exit option)