

Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)

Part 1 Scoping

1 Details of the Proposal

Title of Proposal:	Newstead Conservation Area Appraisal Supplementary Planning Guidance
What is it?	A new Policy/Strategy/Practice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A revised Policy/Strategy/Practice <input type="checkbox"/>
Description of the proposal: (Set out a clear understanding of the purpose of the proposal being developed or reviewed (what are the aims, objectives and intended outcomes, including the context within which it will operate).	<p>The Newstead Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan (CAA&MP) aims to identify the special architectural and historic interest of the conservation area and provide guidance on its management.</p> <p>The CAA&MP is intended to provide clarity in the planning process, provide robust decision-making, inform local communities, promote the heritage of the area, and assist funding applications. It fulfils the Council's statutory duty, under s.62 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997. This provision of the Act allows planning authorities to review its area for conservation area designation and formulate proposals for their preservation and enhancement from "time to time". It</p>

	supports Policy EP9 of the adopted Local Development Plan (2016).
Service Area: Department:	Infrastructure and Environment Planning, Housing & Related Services
Lead Officer: (Name and job title)	Deborah McLean, Lead Officer, Heritage & Design
Other Officers/Partners involved: (List names, job titles and organisations)	Sanne Roberts, Heritage & Design Officer Trish Connolly, Planning Officer
Date(s) IIA completed:	2 February 2023

2 Will there be any cumulative impacts as a result of the relationship between this proposal and other policies?

No
If yes, - please state here:

3 Legislative Requirements

3.1 Relevance to the Equality Duty:
Do you believe your proposal has any relevance under the Equality Act 2010?

No	
Equality Duty	Reasoning:
Elimination of discrimination (both direct & indirect), victimisation and harassment. <i>(Will the proposal discriminate? Or help eliminate discrimination?)</i>	
Promotion of equality of opportunity? <i>(Will your proposal help or hinder the Council with this)</i>	
Foster good relations? <i>(Will your proposal help or hinder the council s relationships with those who have equality characteristics?)</i>	

3.2 Which groups of people do you think will be or potentially could be, impacted by the implementation of this proposal? (You should consider employees, clients, customers / service users, and any other relevant groups)				
Please tick below as appropriate, outlining any potential impacts on the undernoted equality groups this proposal may have and how you know this.				
	Impact			Please explain the potential impacts and how you know this
	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
Age Older or younger people or a specific age grouping	X			
Disability e.g. Effects on people with mental, physical, sensory impairment, learning disability, visible/invisible, progressive or recurring	X			

Gender Reassignment/ Gender Identity anybody whose gender identity or gender expression is different to the sex assigned to them at birth	X			
Marriage or Civil Partnership people who are married or in a civil partnership	X			
Pregnancy and Maternity (refers to the period after the birth, and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth),	X			
Race Groups: including colour, nationality, ethnic origins, including minorities (e.g. gypsy travellers, refugees, migrants and asylum seekers)	X			
Religion or Belief: different beliefs, customs (including atheists and those with no aligned belief)	X			
Sex women and men (girls and boys)	X			
Sexual Orientation , e.g. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Heterosexual	X			
<p>3.3 Fairer Scotland Duty</p> <p>This duty places a legal responsibility on Scottish Borders Council (SBC) to actively consider (give due regard) to how we can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage when making <u>strategic</u> decisions.</p> <p>The duty is set at a strategic level - these are the key, high level decisions that SBC will take. This would normally include strategy documents, decisions about setting priorities, allocating resources and commissioning services.</p>				

Is the proposal strategic?

No

If yes, please indicate any potential impact on the undernoted groups this proposal may have and how you know this:

	Impact			State here how you know this
	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
Low and/or No Wealth – enough money to meet basic living costs and pay bills but have no savings to deal with any unexpected spends and no provision for the future.				
Material Deprivation – being unable to access basic goods and services i.e. financial products like life insurance, repair/replace broken electrical goods, warm home, leisure and hobbies				
Area Deprivation – where you live (e.g. rural areas), where you work (e.g. accessibility of transport)				
Socio-economic Background – social class i.e. parents' education, employment and income				
Looked after and accommodated children and young people				
Carers paid and unpaid including family members				
Homelessness				

Addictions and substance use				
Those involved within the criminal justice system				

4 Full Integrated Impact Assessment Required

No

If a full impact assessment is not required briefly explain why there are no effects and provide justification for the decision.

The Newstead Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan Supplementary Planning Guidance is not a strategic document. It provides additional guidance to support decision-making under adopted Local Development Plan Policy EP9, which has been through its own assessment and adoption processes. The Newstead Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan seeks to preserve and enhance the special architectural and historic interest of the existing built environment in Newstead through the planning process. No adverse equality implications have been identified.

Signed by Lead Officer:	D. McLean
Designation:	Lead Officer, Heritage & Design
Date:	08/02/2023

Counter Signature Director	J. Curry
Date:	23/02/2023