

Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA)

Stage 1 Scoping and Assessing for Relevance

Section 1 Details of the Proposal

<p>A. Title of Proposal:</p>	<p>Review of current Antisocial Behaviour Strategy 2019 - 2023</p>
<p>B. What is it?</p>	<p>A Review of the existing Strategy</p>
<p>C. Description of the proposal: (Set out a clear understanding of the purpose of the proposal being developed or reviewed (what are the aims, objectives and intended outcomes, including the context within which it will operate))</p>	<p>In undertaking the review, we aim to ensure that the Strategy is relevant, reflects our current priorities and that there is a common aims & objectives for all Partners.</p> <p>The Strategy will assist us in targeting appropriate resources to provide help and support to communities and individuals who become victims of antisocial behaviour.</p> <p>The Strategy is the framework for tackling antisocial behaviour in the Scottish Borders. The aims objectives and outcomes of the Strategy are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To comply with the legislation and guidance in relation to the Antisocial Behaviour etc. (Scotland) Act 2004. • Compliance with the relevant and most recent legislation for Registered Social Landlords pertaining to the Housing (Scotland) Act.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure a fair and balanced approach across the Scottish Borders when dealing with antisocial behaviour. • To manage antisocial behaviour in a transparent, efficient and effective way taking account of the potential level of alarm and distress to local communities. • Identify the antisocial behaviour issues that are affecting communities in the Scottish Borders and target resources appropriately.
D. Service Area: Department:	Antisocial Behaviour Unit
E. Lead Officer: (Name and job title)	Colin Bain
F. Other Officers/Partners involved: (List names, job titles and organisations)	Police Scotland – Chief Constable Scottish Borders Council- Chief Executive Antisocial Behaviour Partnership Working Group
G. Date(s) IIA completed:	06/11/2004

Section 2 Will there be any impacts as a result of the relationship between this proposal and other policies?

<p>No- Not anticipated as the current Strategy has not had impacts and this is a review. All relevant strategies that have been referred to in the ASB Strategy have been carefully checked to ensure that there is no conflict or impact either directly or indirectly</p>
<p>If yes, - please state here:</p>

Section 3 Legislative Requirements

<h3>3.1 Relevance to the Equality Duty:</h3>	
<p>Do you believe your proposal has any relevance under the Equality Act 2010? No <i>(If you believe that your proposal may have some relevance – however small please indicate yes. If there is no effect, please enter “No” and go to Section 3.2.)</i></p>	
<p>Equality Duty</p>	<p>Reasoning:</p>
<p>A. Elimination of discrimination (both direct & indirect), victimisation and harassment. <i>(Will the proposal discriminate? Or help eliminate discrimination?)</i></p>	<p>The Strategy is designed to work in conjunction with the Local Police Plan and the Community Plan 2023/33. On implementation, all members of the Borders communities irrespective of their protected characteristic will be treated fairly and free from discrimination. Ultimately this will have a positive effect on promoting good relations, eliminating discrimination, victimisation and harassment, as well as promoting the equality of opportunity.</p>
<p>B. Promotion of equality of opportunity? <i>(Will your proposal help or hinder the Council with this)</i></p>	
<p>C. Foster good relations? <i>(Will your proposal help to foster or encourage good relations between those who have different equality characteristics?)</i></p>	

**3.2 Which groups of people do you think will be or potentially could be, impacted by the implementation of this proposal?
(You should consider employees, clients, customers / service users, and any other relevant groups)**

Please tick below as appropriate, outlining any potential impacts on the undernoted equality groups this proposal may have and how you know this.

	Impact			Please explain the potential impacts and how you know this
	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
Age Older or younger people or a specific age grouping	x			<p>Issues of antisocial behaviour can affect anyone irrespective of age, disability, gender, religion or ethnicity or sexuality therefore access to services are not restricted. Where antisocial behaviour is of a sensitive manner complaints are prioritised. All antisocial behaviour can be reported anonymously. All complainants and victims are offered referrals to support agencies, such as mediation services. On request, information can be made available in large print and Braille and home visits are available</p>
Disability A physical or mental impairment that has a substantial and long-term adverse effect on a person's ability to carry out normal day to day activities. This may be visible or invisible, progressive or recurring.	x			
Gender Reassignment anybody who is proposing to undergo, is undergoing, or has undergone a process (or part of a process) for the purpose of reassigning the person's sex by changing physiological or other attributes of sex.	x			
Marriage or Civil Partnership people who are married or in a civil partnership	x			
Pregnancy and Maternity (refers to the period after the birth and is linked to maternity leave in the employment context. In the non-work context, protection against maternity discrimination is for 26 weeks after giving birth),	x			

Race: including colour, nationality, ethnic origins, including minorities (e.g. gypsy travellers, refugees, migrants and asylum seekers)	x			
Religion or Belief: different religious or philosophical beliefs, customs (including atheists and those with no aligned belief) e.g. Christianity, Islam, Hindu, pacifism, vegetarianism, gender critical.	x			
Sex women and men (girls and boys)	x			
Sexual Orientation , e.g. Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Heterosexual	x			
<p>3.3 Fairer Scotland Duty</p> <p>This duty places a legal responsibility on Scottish Borders Council (SBC) to actively consider (give due regard) to how we can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage when making <u>strategic</u> decisions.</p> <p>The duty is set at a strategic level - these are the key, high level decisions that SBC will take. This would normally include strategy documents, decisions about setting priorities, allocating resources and commissioning services.</p>				
<p>Is the proposal strategic?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>If No go to Section 4</p>				
<p>If yes, please indicate any potential impact on the undernoted groups this proposal may have and how you know this:</p>				
	Impact	State here how you know this		

	No Impact	Positive Impact	Negative Impact	
Low and/or No Wealth – enough money to meet basic living costs and pay bills but have no savings to deal with any unexpected spends and no provision for the future.	x			<p>Issues of antisocial behaviour can affect anyone. We deal with reports of antisocial behaviour based on evidence. The antisocial behaviour unit has been in existence since 2004 and have had direct contact with all the groups mentioned. Income is not a factor in how ASB is dealt with.</p> <p>The strategy tries to address the impact on individuals, irrespective of background, finances or dealing with addictions.</p> <p>The ASB legislation follows civil legislation and therefore has no impact on those with in the Justice System.</p>
Material Deprivation – being unable to access basic goods and services i.e. financial products like life insurance, repair/replace broken electrical goods, warm home, leisure and hobbies	x			
Area Deprivation – where you live (e.g. rural areas), where you work (e.g. accessibility of transport), see rural proofing guidance	x			
Socio-economic Background – social class i.e. parents' education, employment and income	x			
Care experienced people	x			
Carers paid and unpaid including family members	x			
Homelessness	x			
Addictions and substance use	x			
Those involved within the criminal justice system	x			

3.4 Armed Forces Covenant Duty (*Education and Housing/ Homelessness proposals only*)

This duty places a legal responsibility on Scottish Borders Council (SBC) to actively consider (give due regard) to the three matters listed below in Education and Housing/ Homelessness matters.
This relates to current and former armed forces personnel (regular or reserve) and their families.

Is the Armed Forces Covenant Duty applicable?

No

If “Yes”, please complete below

Covenant Duty	How this has been considered and any specific provision made:
<p>The unique obligations of, and sacrifices made by, the armed forces.</p> <p>The <u>MOD Statutory Guidance</u> gives the following examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Danger • Geographical Mobility • Separation from Family • Service Law • Unfamiliarity with Civilian Life • Hours of Work • Stress 	
<p>The principle that it is desirable to remove disadvantages arising for Service people from membership, or former membership, of the armed forces.</p>	

<p>The principle that special provision for Service people may be justified by the effects on such people of membership, or former membership, of the armed forces.</p>	
---	--

Section 4 Full Integrated Impact Assessment Required


Select No if you have answered “No” to all of Sections 3.1 – 3.3.

No

If yes, please proceed to Stage 2 and complete a full Integrated Impact Assessment

If a full impact assessment is not required briefly explain why there are no effects and provide justification for the decision.

--

Signed by Lead Officer:	
Designation:	Senior Antisocial Behaviour Officer
Date:	06/11/2024

Counter Signature Director:	
Date:	