Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA3K-E

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report Submitted on 2018-11-12 23:12:01

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

What is your address?

Address line 1:

Address line 2 :

Address line 3:

Town/City:

Post code:

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

What is your email address?

Individual email:

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

No.

Whilst housing is a main issue, you cannot keep building houses without considering the infrastructure needs of schools, doctors and other public services. Peebles cannot cope with more houses without addressing these demands

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2: No comment

Q2 upload: No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4

Business Use Towns:

Upload Q4: No file was uploaded

Question 5

Land delivery effectively:

Reduce rates in high streets to encourage more businesses to take up units

Question 6

Agree?:

Upload Q6: No file was uploaded

Planning for housing

Question 7

Housing agree?:

Whilst all of the sites for houses in Peebles could be considered viable - they are not viable without significant improvements in infrastructure. The primary schools are at maximum capacity, with the High School approaching capacity. The amount of housing suggested would break these excellent facilities. Road infrastructure is not capable - as is pointed out by the need for a new bridge.

Public transport needs serious review with the capacity of the A703/A701 roads to Edinburgh for more traffic highly questionable. Consideration of re-instatement of the railway to Edinburgh should be considered as a high proportion of new house owners are commuters.

Doctors are already full, so an additional Health Centre/GP practice would be required.

Peebles should not just merge with the countryside - the move outwards into Bonnington Farm SBEEB009 is a step too far into the countryside

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8

Housing countryside:

You should draw town boundaries and limit expansion into these areas. Creation of new towns may be better than expansion of existing ones

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Supporting our town centres

Question 10

Core Activity Areas:

Question 11

Berwickshire supermarket:

Upload Q11: No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develp contrib town:

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Question 14

National park: 3 potential areas - The Tweed Valley, Cheviots, Eildons

Upload Q14: No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Upload Q15: No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

A serious review is required of infrastructure policy and the impact of new housing on schools, doctors and transport infrastructure. If it can be deemed this policy is adequate then clearly the council are not following it.

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Schooling - a developer fee is required on all new homes for the council to build an additional primary school and new high school, or developers should have to build these facilities. This is required before any further house building takes place. The same should be for Doctors surgeries

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested .: