

## Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA2K-D

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**

Submitted on **2019-01-28 13:29:26**

### Data protection

#### About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

#### Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████

Post code:

██████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

██████████

### Vision aims and spatial strategy

#### Question 1

**Q1 Agree aims LDP2:**

I believe a key aim should be to have the necessary social and physical infrastructure in place before development starts - utilities, roads, schools and GP capacity

### Growing our economy

#### Question 2

**Q2:**

No comment

**Q2 upload:**

No file was uploaded

#### Question 3

**Settlement business allocated:**

Land should be allocated around the railway in the central Borders and near the proposed railway station at Reston. Also, Walkerburn

**Upload Q3:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 4****Business Use Towns:**

No comment

**Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 5****Land delivery effectively:**

No comment

**Question 6****Agree?:**

I strongly disagree with the proposed preferred development option at Eshiels (MESH1001 and MESH1002).

- The proposed scale of development for 240 units is huge and significantly changes the character of the rural area, given the scale of the existing settlement. It is also a significant step towards 'ribbon development' along the A72

- I believe that if the development takes place, there will be a significantly increased flood risk for the existing houses especially as the land does not drain well at present. Also there's likely to be increased risk to the A72 where there are frequent flooding issues.

- note that the 'Preferred\_and\_Alternative\_Site\_Assessments' document (p110) refers to a sawmill at Eshiels and the site map in 'Ch 4 Growing the Economy' shows a sawmill. This has not existed for over 20 years, and is a very worrying inaccuracy in the research.

**Upload Q6:**

No file was uploaded

**Planning for housing****Question 7****Housing agree?:**

I strongly disagree.

- the plan seems to be disproportionately weighted towards development at Peebles. The town does not have the infrastructure to handle the extra population and further expansion will be detrimental to the character of the town.

- The proposed scale of development for 240 units is huge given the scale of the existing settlement and is a significant step towards 'ribbon development' along the A72

- I believe that if the development takes place, there will be a significantly increased flood risk for the existing houses especially as the land does not drain well at present. Also there's likely to be increased risk to the A72 where there are frequent flooding issues

- note that the 'Preferred\_and\_Alternative\_Site\_Assessments' document (p110) refers to a sawmill at Eshiels. This has not existed for over 20 years, and is a very worrying inaccuracy in the research.

**Upload Q7:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 8****Housing countryside:**

No comment

**Upload Q8:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 9****Agree removed housing :**

Disagree - removing existing allocations increases the pressure to develop sites in Peebles

**Supporting our town centres****Question 10****Core Activity Areas:**

No comment

**Question 11**

**Berwickshire supermarket:**

No comment

**Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 12**

**Develop contrib town:**

No comment

**Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda**

**Question 13**

**Support alternative option:**

No comment

**Question 14**

**National park:**

No comment

**Upload Q14:**

No file was uploaded

**Regeneration**

**Question 15**

**Agree redevelopment:**

No comment

**Upload Q15:**

No file was uploaded

**Settlement Map**

**Question 16**

**Oxnam settlement:**

No comment

**Question 17**

**Core frontage Newcastleton:**

No comment

**Planning policy issues**

**Question 18**

**Agree amendments appendix3:**

No comment

**Any other comments**

**Question 19**

**Other main issues:**

**Landowner details**

**Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?**

No

**If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.:**