

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FA6J-G

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report

Submitted on 2019-01-23 10:25:03

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

Individual

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

Yes

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

Yes

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

No

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4**Business Use Towns:**

No

Upload Q4:

No file was uploaded

Question 5**Land delivery effectively:**

No

Question 6**Agree?:**

No, 240 houses and business units at Eshiels is a terrible and illogical idea, as are the further development options for the Peebles area as a whole. The reasoning for this comment is stated below.

The Eshiels area is a 'Special Landscape Area'. The plans are totally out of scale and character. It is currently an area being developed for tourism - walking & mountain biking on a national and even international scale. It is key to local biodiversity and the countryside experience, and will link Peebles to Cardrona with ribbon development

At a Peebles-wide level, the proposed further developments of approx 1000 houses cannot be tolerated due to pressure on an already stretched infrastructure impacting on our:

Health centre, Schools, Roads, Parking, Sewage treatment plant

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing**Question 7****Housing agree?:**

No, the Eshiels site is completely out of character with the current area and it will cause increased pressure on a currently stretched local services system of Health services, Schools, roads, parking and sewerage treatment.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8**Housing countryside:**

No, the main settlements are the areas which should be developed BROADLY, developing very small settlements such as Eshiels will cause undue pressure on an already heavily laden services system.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9

Agree removed housing :

Supporting our town centres**Question 10****Core Activity Areas:****Question 11****Berwickshire supermarket:****Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

Question 12

Develop contrib town:

No

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Question 14

National park:

Yes

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

No

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: