

Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAQQ-J

Submitted to LDP2 - Main Issues Report

Submitted on 2019-01-26 18:55:03

Data protection

About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

████████████████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

Vision aims and spatial strategy

Question 1

Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

The Borders needs development but the strategy to place the majority of it in Peebles is flawed and will put unacceptable pressure on local resources and infrastructure.

I would suggest that the new railway link in Galashiels should be better utilised as that was the reason it was built, and appropriate development should take place there. The environment would of course need to be improved and the town made more attractive to encourage commuters to live there, but this can be done with sensible planning and budgeting.

Growing our economy

Question 2

Q2:

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

Upload Q3:

No file was uploaded

Question 4**Business Use Towns:****Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

Question 5**Land delivery effectively:****Question 6****Agree?:**

The positioning of such a large scale housing and commercial area adjacent to Glen Tress will significantly detract from this Nationally-recognised sports amenity. We are users of this area on a regular basis and would not rent accommodation or use the cycle tracks if it becomes urbanised, as the feel and beauty of the area will change and it will become unacceptable to most users too.

Glen Tress is unique and highly successful. Why ruin it?

Road usage will increase and what is already a busy road will become congested and noisy.

Upload Q6:

No file was uploaded

Planning for housing**Question 7****Housing agree?:**

With the new rail link to Galashiels why is any such development not aimed to use this? Surely this is the reason it was built? It takes cars off the already busy roads and reduces pollution.

The main corridor road to Peebles certainly cannot support much more traffic at peak times.

Upload Q7:

No file was uploaded

Question 8**Housing countryside:**

The proposed development at Eshiels seems to fly in the face of any such development. It is neither rural nor urban as it is within school catchment distance and yet the pupils have no bus available but have to walk along the side of an increasingly busy A72. The alternative is for parents to transport them to school by car, across the bridge thereby causing further congestion in Peebles.

Upload Q8:

No file was uploaded

Question 9**Agree removed housing :****Supporting our town centres****Question 10****Core Activity Areas:****Question 11****Berwickshire supermarket:****Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

Question 12**Develop contrib town:**

Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda

Question 13

Support alternative option:

Question 14

National park:

Upload Q14:

No file was uploaded

Regeneration

Question 15

Agree redevelopment:

Upload Q15:

No file was uploaded

Settlement Map

Question 16

Oxnam settlement:

Question 17

Core frontage Newcastleton:

Planning policy issues

Question 18

Agree amendments appendix3:

Any other comments

Question 19

Other main issues:

Landowner details

Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?

No

If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.: