

## Response ID ANON-7TG7-FAFB-R

Submitted to **LDP2 - Main Issues Report**  
Submitted on **2019-01-31 23:59:44**

### Data protection

#### About you

Are you responding as an: individual, organisation, or an agent acting on behalf of a client?

██████████

#### Individual

What is your name?

Individual name:

██████████

What is your address?

Address line 1:

██████████

Address line 2 :

██████████

Address line 3:

Town/City:

██████████

Post code:

██████████

What is your contact number?

Individual Phone No:

██████████

What is your email address?

Individual email:

████████████████████

### Vision aims and spatial strategy

#### Question 1

##### Q1 Agree aims LDP2:

In theory I agree with the aims of the MIR but I completely disagree with the proposals put forward that focuses most of the potential mixed housing & employment sites/pure housing sites in the Tweeddale area. Given that there is regular reference to the success of the Borders Railway, desire to extend this south to Carlisle, and the mention of the Reston station, why does the MIR ignore these projects when to site additional housing along these transit routes would only make these projects more viable?

### Growing our economy

#### Question 2

Q2:

Q2 upload:

No file was uploaded

#### Question 3

Settlement business allocated:

**Upload Q3:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 4****Business Use Towns:****Upload Q4:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 5****Land delivery effectively:****Question 6****Agree?:**

Unfortunately the form has just wiped all my previous answers but in summary:

No I don't agree with the preferred or alternative options that are largely focussed on the Tweeddale area. 1100 houses in Tweeddale and only 228 across the rest of the Borders? How is that fair and equitable for either Tweeddale OR the rest of the Borders?

From a Peebles-area perspective, there are significant infrastructure constraints in effect now, that the council don't appear to have any plans to be address, including:

- lack of education capacity at Peebles High School (or Portacabin High School as it will soon be known), or any of the 3 primary schools. PHS is not due to be in line to be replaced until 2032!
- lack of capacity at the Haylodge Health Centre - we already need to wait approx 3 weeks to get an appointment
- lack of information about capacity at the Peebles Sewerage Treatment works
- lack of resilient transport network linking north and south of the river. The planned 2nd bridge has been put back another 8 years!

Sort these constraints first then review housing needs at a future date.

At a local level, I disagree with the sites MESH001 and MESH002. They are in a Special landscape Area (as designated by Nature Scotland) and development on the proposed scale would make a mockery of this designation. It would be totally out of keeping with existing development in the area. Also the attached photo illustrates the significant flooding that takes place most years on the MESH001 site. Do the council/LUC intend stipulating that houses and business premises must be built on stilts?!

**Upload Q6:**

Eshiels Flooding 2014-Feb MESH001.png was uploaded

**Planning for housing****Question 7****Housing agree?:**

No I do not agree.

**Upload Q7:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 8****Housing countryside:****Upload Q8:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 9**

Agree removed housing :

**Supporting our town centres****Question 10****Core Activity Areas:****Question 11**

Berwickshire supermarket:

**Upload Q11:**

No file was uploaded

**Question 12**

**Develp contrib town:**

**Delivering sustainability and climate change agenda**

**Question 13**

**Support alternative option:**

**Question 14**

**National park:**

**Upload Q14:**

No file was uploaded

**Regeneration**

**Question 15**

**Agree redevelopment:**

**Upload Q15:**

No file was uploaded

**Settlement Map**

**Question 16**

**Oxnam settlement:**

**Question 17**

**Core frontage Newcastleton:**

**Planning policy issues**

**Question 18**

**Agree amendments appendix3:**

**Any other comments**

**Question 19**

**Other main issues:**

Council needs to better communicate future plans/consultatins, especially ones like this that could have a massive impact on th eexisting population. I know it's old fashioned but a letter drop would have had much more comprehensive reach that what was done.

**Landowner details**

**Have you submitted any site suggestions in this consultation?**

No

**If yes, please confirm the site and provide the landowner details (if known) for each site you have suggested.:**

